

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,765	09/18/2003	Ikuo Niimura	1232-5155	6378
27123 MORGAN & I	7590 08/10/2007 FINNEGAN, L.L.P.		EXAMINER	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER			JERABEK, KELLY L	
NEW YORK,	NY 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,765	NIIMURA, IKUO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kelly L. Jerabek	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timution will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 June 2007.					
·—·	,					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-10 and 13-17 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

New Examiner of Record

The prosecution of this application has been transferred to Examiner Kelly Jerabek from the docket of Examiner Gary Vieaux. Any inquiry concerning this Office Action or earlier communications should be directed to the current Examiner of record. Current contact information is provided in the last section of this communication.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of species I pertaining to claims 1-3 and 11-17 in the reply filed on 6/29/2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that despite the identification of five groups in the Election/Restriction requirement, the Examiner has not established that a completely separate field of search would be required for each of these species. This is not found persuasive because this argument only applies to restrictions. In order to traverse a species election, Applicant must submit evidence showing that the species are not patentably distinct. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, it the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or

admission may be use in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Additionally, the Examiner notes that elected claims 13-17 are not readable on elected species I and therefore do not pertain to the elected species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 4-10and 13-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 6/29/2007.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

Claim12 is objected to because of the following informalities: "sensd image data" should be changed to "sensed image data". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondoh et al. US 6,968,058 in view of Obana US 6,970,561.

Re claims 1-3, Kondoh discloses in a first embodiment an image sensing apparatus (100) which generates image data and authentication data (MAC) necessary for a process of authenticating whether the image data is altered, and also discloses key data (Kprivate) necessary to generate the authentication data (col. 4, line 42-col. 5, line 36). However, Kondoh does not specifically state that the key data necessary to

generate the authentication data is erased in accordance with a predetermined condition such as when a user turns off a power supply.

Obana discloses a method for encryption and decryption with endurance to cryptanalysis. Obana states in the background section that it is well known in the art for communication devices to dynamically erase key information stored in a volatile memory when power is turned off and to re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed (col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include logic to erase key information in a device when power is turned off and re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed as disclosed by Obana in the image sensing apparatus disclosed by Kondoh. Doing so would provide a means for improving secretness in an encrypting communication device (Obana: col. 1, lines 65-66).

Re claim 11, Kondoh discloses in a first embodiment an image sensing apparatus (100) having an image sensing unit (2), comprising: a storage unit (17) for storing image data obtained by image sensing by the image sensing unit (2) in a predetermined storage medium; and a key data generation unit (11) for generating key data (Kprivate) necessary to generate authentication data (MAC) to be added to image data to be stored on the basis of pre-stored original data (col. 4, line 42-col. 5, line 36). However, Kondoh does not specifically disclose in the first embodiment a control unit for controlling an existing period of the key data generated by the key-data generating unit.

Obana discloses a method for encryption and decryption with endurance to cryptanalysis. Obana states in the background section that it is well known in the art for communication devices to dynamically erase key information stored in a volatile memory when power is turned off and to re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed (col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 4). Thus, it can be seen that the prior art disclosed by Obana includes a control unit for controlling an existing period of the key data generated by the key-data generation unit. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include logic to erase key information in a device when power is turned off and re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed as disclosed by Obana in the image sensing apparatus disclosed by Kondoh. Doing so would provide a means for improving secretness in an encrypting communication device (Obana: col. 1, lines 65-66).

Re claim 12, Kondoh discloses in a first embodiment an image sensing apparatus (100) which compression-coded an image sensed by an image sensing unit (2), and stores the compression-coded image in a detachable storage medium (17), and which can communicate with an external apparatus (101), comprising: an authentication data adding unit (11) for adding, to sensed image data, authentication data (MAC) for authenticating whether the sensed image data is altered, when the sensed image data is stored in the storage medium (17) (col. 4, line 42-col. 5, line 36). However, Kondoh does not specifically disclose in the first embodiment a setting unit for setting an existing period of the key data generated by the key-data generating unit.

Obana discloses a method for encryption and decryption with endurance to cryptanalysis. Obana states in the background section that it is well known in the art for communication devices to dynamically erase key information stored in a volatile memory when power is turned off and to re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed (col. 1, line 65-col. 2, line 4). Thus, it can be seen that the prior art disclosed by Obana includes a setting unit for setting an existing period of the key data generated by the key-data generation unit. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to have been motivated to include logic to erase key information in a device when power is turned off and re-load the key information when the supply of power is resumed as disclosed by Obana in the image sensing apparatus disclosed by Kondoh. Doing so would provide a means for improving secretness in an encrypting communication device (Obana: col. 1, lines 65-66).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bell et al. (US 2004/0201751) discloses a secure digital photography system.

The information regarding encrypting image data is relevant information.

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly L. Jerabek whose telephone number is (571) 272-7312. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for submitting all Official communications is (571) 273-7300. The fax phone number for submitting informal communications such as drafts, proposed amendments, etc., may be faxed directly to the Examiner at (571) 273-7312.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KLJ

Kelly K/

SPE.ART UNIT2622